

The Lê numbers of the square of a function and their applications

Javier Fernández de Bobadilla and Terence Gaffney

February 2, 2008

INTRODUCTION

Lê numbers were introduced by Massey in [17], [18] with the purpose of numerically controlling the topological properties of families of non-isolated hypersurface singularities and describing the topology associated with a function with non-isolated singularities. They are a generalization of the Milnor number for isolated hypersurface singularities.

The Lê numbers are well-defined even for functions, f , which are not square-free; in this case the singular set of the function, denoted $S(f)$, has a component which is a component of $V(f)$. In this note we will describe the relation between the Lê numbers of f and f^2 , where f is square-free, and we will use this connection in two applications.

If f is not square-free, then the Lê numbers of f have a contribution from the polar multiplicities of the components of $S(f)$ which are also components of $V(f)$. This contribution is described precisely in lemma 1.1, and is the basis for our two applications.

This paper can be viewed as a step in understanding the Lê numbers of the composite of a mapping with a function. Here we study the composite of an arbitrary square-free f and z^2 . In [11], the second author studied the composite of a mapping G defining an ICIS (isolated complete intersection singularity) with a Morse function general with respect to the discriminant of G .

The first application is concerned with the extent to which the Lê numbers are invariant in a family of functions which satisfy some equisingularity condition.

Lê and Ramanujam proved in [14] that, except in the surface case a family of isolated hypersurface singularities with constant Milnor number has constant Milnor fibration (in a differentiable sense) and constant ambient topological type. Massey showed in [17],[18] that a family of hypersurface singularities with critical set of codimension at least three in the ambient space and constant Lê numbers has constant Milnor fibration in the differentiable sense. Massey then asked (in [19]) whether the constancy of the Lê numbers is strong enough to ensure the constancy of the embedded topological type.

The first author gave a negative answer to this question in [9] by showing, via explicit examples, that the constancy of the Lê numbers does not even imply the constancy of the topological type of the abstract link (the intersection of the central fiber with the boundary of the Milnor sphere thought as an abstract

space, i.e., without its embedding into the Milnor sphere). However he showed that, if the dimension of the hypersurfaces is at least three, the constancy of the Lê numbers ensures the constancy of the homotopy type of the abstract link.

Conversely, the Milnor number is a topological invariant. Massey asked in [17] whether the Lê numbers could be topological invariants. One should note that in an strict sense the answer to this question is negative: if f is a square-free holomorphic germ then f and f^2 have the same ambient topological type but different Lê numbers. Therefore it makes sense to reformulate Massey's question using stronger notions instead of ambient topological invariance. Reasonable ones seem to be topological right-equivalence invariance or ambient topological invariance along a family. In this paper we will show that Lê numbers are not, in general, invariants for the seemingly strongest purely topological notion: *the Lê numbers are not necessarily constant in a family of topologically right-equivalent functions*.

The way of showing this is as follows: we show that if a function f defines an isolated singularity then the Lê numbers of its square f^2 , with respect to a generic coordinate system, determine, and are determined by Teissier's μ^* -sequence. Therefore if f_t is topologically trivial but not a μ^* constant family, then f_t^2 is the desired counterexample (see the details in section 2). This looks a bit surprising: the Lê numbers are not topological invariants using the strongest topological equivalence, and they are not strong enough to control the topology of the abstract link in a family, but on the other hand they can be used to characterize ambient Whitney equisingularity for families of isolated singularities. It would be interesting to determine more precisely the topological content of the Lê numbers.

Finally we notice that using squares of reduced functions we could not find any family of topologically right-equivalent functions such that the top Lê-number (Lê number corresponding to the highest dimensional Lê cycle) is not constant. In fact we will note that if in any family of topologically right-equivalent squares of functions defining isolated singularities the top Lê number is constant then Zariski's multiplicity conjecture is true for families of isolated singularities and conversely. This could lead one to think that the top Lê number is invariant in families of topologically right-equivalent functions. We show that this is false by a counterexample (which is not a family of squares of functions).

The idea of looking at the Lê numbers of the square of a function has another, completely different, interesting application. Using them we can get a quick proof of a new formula for the Euler obstruction for hypersurfaces. We explain this connection below.

The Euler obstruction is an idea introduced by MacPherson ([16]) as a key step in developing the notion of the Chern class for singular spaces. Recently, Brasselet, Lê, Seade [1] found a formula for the Euler obstruction using a general linear form L . Their formula is:

$$\text{Eu}_X(0) = \sum_i \chi(V_i \cap B_\varepsilon \cap L^{-1}(t_0)) \cdot \text{Eu}_X(V_i),$$

where B_ε is a small ball around 0 in $\mathbf{C}^N$, $t_0 \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}$ is sufficiently near $\{0\}$ and $\text{Eu}_X(V_i)$ is the Euler obstruction of X at any point of the stratum V_i , $\{V_i\}$ a Whitney stratification.

We use the Lê numbers to derive a formula for the Euler obstruction at the origin of a hypersurface singularity. Our formula seems dual to that of BLS, in that our formula is a relative formula ; we work not on X but with the function f defining X ; hence the terms in our formula come from components of the exceptional divisor of the blowup by $J(f)$, not with a Whitney stratification of X . Further, the main operators, Euler number and complex link switch roles in these two formulas.

Although our formula applies directly only to hypersurface singularities, Lê and Teissier showed that it has wider utility. In their seminal paper [15] theorem 6.4.1, they showed that the Euler obstruction at the origin for any equidimensional reduced analytic set X^d , is the same as that of the hypersurface obtained by projecting X^d to $\mathbf{C}^{d+1}$ using a generic projection.

In the first section we relate the Lê numbers of f^2 with the Lê numbers and the multiplicities of the relative and absolute polar varieties of f . In the case in which f defines an isolated singularity, our formula boils down to the relation between the Lê numbers of f^2 and the μ^* -sequence of f . After that we derive the relations between Lê numbers and topology predicted above. In the second section we compute our formula for the Euler obstruction. In the third, we use the formula to compute some examples.

In particular we are able to compute the Euler obstruction of any X defined by a function which only has transverse $D(q, p)$ singularities off the origin. Examples of this class are singularities of finite codimension with respect to certain types of ideals (see [27], Example 11.3 in [8]). These singularities have been studied and are interesting in many respects (see [8], [9], [24] and references therein). This example also shows how our formula and that of [1] can be used together in the study of hypersurface singularities which satisfy some transversality conditions except at the origin.

When this work was completed David Massey pointed out to us that our Theorem 2.1 also follows from the general index formula of Brylinski, Dubson, and Kashiwara, coupled with some results from his papers. We also thank Massey for a careful reading of an earlier preprint version of our paper.

1. THE LÊ NUMBERS OF THE SQUARE OF A FUNCTION

Setup: Let $f : \mathbf{C}^n, 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, 0$, $X = f^{-1}(0)$. One can define the Lê numbers of f at 0 as follows. Blow up $\mathbf{C}^n, 0$ by the jacobian ideal of f denoted $J(f)$. The blowup $B_{J(f)}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ is a subset of $\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$, with exceptional divisor $[E]$. By abuse of notation we call subsets of the form $\mathbf{C}^n \times H$ hyperplanes if H is a hyperplane on $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ and denote their cycle class by $[H]$. Similarly, we denote the cycle class of the intersection of r general hyperplanes by $[H]^r$. The Lê cycle of f of dimension k , $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, denoted $\Lambda^k(f, 0)$, is $\pi_*([E] \cdot [H]^{n-k-1})$,

where $[H]^{n-k-1}$ is general for $[E]$, π_* is the map on cycles induced from the projection of the blowup to $\mathbf{C}^n$. If m_i is the multiplicity of the i -th component of the underlying set of $\Lambda^k(f, 0)$, and e_i is the degree of the component, then the k -th Lê number of f at 0, denoted $\lambda^k(f, 0)$ is $\sum_i m_i e_i$. (Cf.[19] p103.)

Note that the components E_i of the exceptional divisor are the conormal varieties of the projection of the components to $\mathbf{C}^n$. (Recall that the conormal variety of an analytic set X consists of the tangent hyperplanes to the smooth part of X , and their limits at singular points.) This follows because f satisfies the A_f condition at the generic point z of $\pi(E_i)$ for the pair $(\mathbf{C}^n, \pi(E_i))$, and a dimension count shows that the set of limits is exactly the set of hyperplanes which contain the tangent space to $\pi(E_i)$ at z .

This last remark leads to a relation between Lê numbers and polar varieties. In preparation for a description of this connection, recall that the polar varieties of an analytic set $X \subset \mathbf{C}^n$, are defined by intersecting the conormal variety of X , denoted $C(X)$ with hyperplanes on $\mathbf{C}^n \times \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ and projecting to X .

Now, the Lê cycles of f can be decomposed into two types of cycles— the fixed cycles, whose underlying sets are the images of the components of the exceptional divisor of $\text{Bl}_{J(f)}(\mathbf{C}^n)$, and the moving cycles whose underlying sets are the polar varieties of the images of the components of the exceptional divisor. (The fixed cycles are the part of the Lê cycle which is independent of the choice of hyperplane; the moving cycles are the part that moves with choice of hyperplane.) The underlying sets of the moving cycles are the polar varieties of the images of the components of the exceptional divisor because the components of the exceptional divisor are the conormals of the images. (Cf. Theorem 7.5 of [21] for a discussion of this point in the more general context of characteristic cycles of perverse sheaves.)

Label the j -th component of the underlying sets of the fixed cycles of dimension i by $|\Lambda_{j,F}^i(f)|$, and a generic point of the component by $z_{i,j}$. Denote the absolute polar variety of dimension i of a complex analytic variety X at the origin by $\Gamma^i(X)$, and denote the relative polar variety of f of dimension $i+1$ at the point z by $\Gamma^{i+1}(f, z)$.

Lemma (1.1) *Suppose $f : \mathbf{C}^n, 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, 0$, f is square-free, $X = f^{-1}(0)$. Then*

$$\lambda^i(f^2) = 2\lambda^i(f) + m(\Gamma^i(X)) + \sum_{k \geq i, j} m(\Gamma^{k+1}(f, z_{k,j}))m(\Gamma^i(|\Lambda_{j,F}^k(f)|), 0),$$

where $z_{k,j}$ is a generic point of $|\Lambda_{j,F}^k(f)|$.

Proof. The underlying set of the exceptional divisor of $B_{J(f^2)}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ is the same as that of $B_{J(f)}(\mathbf{C}^n)$ with the addition of the strict transform of X as a component. The strict transform of X is just the conormal variety of X . This follows because the limits of the tangent hyperplanes to the fibers of f^2 at a point of X are generically the tangent plane to X at the point, since A_f holds generically. Thus, the underlying sets of the fixed cycles of f^2 consist of X and

those of f , while the underlying sets of the moving cycles of f^2 consist of the polar varieties of X and the underlying sets of the moving cycles of f .

Since f is square free, at a generic point of any component of X , $J(f^2) = I(X)$, hence the contribution to the L  cycle of dimension i from X is just $m(\Gamma^i(X))$, the multiplicity of $\Gamma^i(X)$ at 0.

If V^k is the underlying set of a fixed L  cycle of f , then to calculate the degree of V for f^2 , work at the generic point z of V , pick a generic plane P of dimension complementary to V , find the relative polar curve of f^2 restricted to P , and calculate the degree of $J(f^2) = fJ(f)$ on the curve. This degree is just the degree of $J(f)$ on the curve plus the degree of f on the curve. The polar curve in this case is just the polar curve of f restricted to P , so the first degree is the same as the degree of V for $J(f)$, while the second is the degree of V plus the multiplicity of the polar curve ([19] p. 24 proposition 1.23). Since the multiplicity of the polar curve is just the multiplicity of $\Gamma^{k+1}(f, z)$, summing over all the fixed and moving components of f of dimension i we get $2\lambda^i(f) + \sum_{k \geq i, j} m(\Gamma^{k+1}(f, z_j))m(\Gamma^i | \Lambda_{j, F}^k(f)|, 0)$, from which the result follows.

Notice that if $i = 0$, then the formula becomes

$$\lambda^0(f^2) = 2\lambda^0(f) + m(\Gamma^1(f, 0)).$$

This is because there are no polar varieties of dimension 0, so the only component of $E_{J(f^2)}$ which contributes is that which projects to the origin.

Suppose now that f defines an isolated singularity. Then the L  cycle $\Lambda^i(f)$ is empty for $i > 0$. Hence there is a unique fixed L  cycle, which is of degree 0 and is equal to $\mu(f)[0]$, where $\mu(f)$ is the Milnor number of f and $[0]$ is the 0-cycle given by the origin of $\mathbf{C}^n$. In this context the formula given in Lemma 1.1 boils down to

$$\lambda^i(f^2) = m(\Gamma^i(X)),$$

if $i > 0$ and to

$$\lambda^0(f^2) = \mu(f) + m(\Gamma^1(f, 0)).$$

Notice that, using the fact that f defines an isolated singularity, the above equalities can be easily obtained as well from calculating the L  cycles with the procedure described in page 18 of [19].

Assume that the coordinate system $(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ with which we are working is generic. Denote by H_i the plane section defined by $H_i := V(x_1, \dots, x_i)$ for $i > 0$, and $H_0 := \mathbf{C}^n$. Define $f_i := f|_{H_i}$. Teissier's μ^* -sequence associated to f is the sequence of numbers defined by $\mu^n(f) = \mu(f)$ and $\mu^{n-i}(f) = \mu(f_i)$, for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Observe that $\mu^1(f) = m(X) - 1$.

The polar varieties of X in these coordinates are given by

$$\Gamma^{n-1}(X) = X,$$

$$\Gamma^i(X) = V(f, \partial f / \partial x_{i+2}, \dots, \partial f / \partial x_n),$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$.

By the genericity of the coordinate system the set

$$V(x_1, \dots, x_i, f, \partial f / \partial x_{i+2}, \dots, \partial f / \partial x_n)$$

is isolated at the origin, and moreover we have the equalities

$$m(\Gamma^i(X)) = I_0(H_i, \Gamma^i(X)) = l(O_{\mathbf{C}^n, 0} / (x_1, \dots, x_k, f, \partial f / \partial x_{i+2}, \dots, \partial f / \partial x_n)).$$

On the other hand, as f_i defines an isolated singularity, we have

$$\Gamma^1(f_i, 0) = V(x_1, \dots, x_i, \partial f / \partial x_{i+2}, \dots, \partial f / \partial x_n),$$

for $0 \leq i \leq n-1$. Hence

$$l(O_{\mathbf{C}^n, 0} / (x_1, \dots, x_k, f, \partial f / \partial x_{i+2}, \dots, \partial f / \partial x_n)) = I_0(V(f), \Gamma^1(f_i, 0)).$$

By [13], for $i \leq n-2$, we have

$$I_0(V(f), \Gamma^1(f_i, 0)) = \mu(f_i) + \mu(f_{i+1}) = \mu^{n-i}(f) + \mu^{n-i-1}(f).$$

We have also

$$m(\Gamma^1(f, 0)) = \mu^n(f) + \mu^{n-1}(f).$$

Combining the above equalities we have proved:

Lemma (1.2) *If f defines an isolated singularity*

$$\lambda_{n-1}(f^2) = m(X),$$

$$\lambda_i(f^2) = \mu^{n-i} + \mu^{n-i-1},$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, and

$$\lambda_0(f^2) = 2\mu^n(f) + \mu^{n-1}(f).$$

By [25] and [2] and Lemma 1.2 we immediately deduce:

Corollary (1.3) *Let f_t be a family of isolated singularities holomorphically depending on a parameter t . Then f_t is ambient Whitney equisingular if and only if the Lê numbers of f_t^2 are independent of t .*

The family $f_t := x_3^5 + tx_2^6x_3 + x_2^7x_1 + x_1^{15} + x_4^2$ is a stabilisation of the Briançon and Speder example of μ -constant but not μ^* constant family (see [3]). By [26], there exist positive ϵ and δ and a continuous family of homeomorphisms

$$h_t : B_\epsilon \cap f_0^{-1}(D_\delta) \rightarrow B_\epsilon \cap f_t^{-1}(D_\delta),$$

such that f_t composed with h_t gives f_0 .

As $f_t^{-1}(D_\delta) = (f_t^2)^{-1}(D_{\delta^2})$ and f_t^2 composed with h_t gives f_0^2 we have

Example (1.4) The family f_t^2 is topologically right-equivalent trivial but its Lê numbers are not constant.

We note that we have not found examples of topologically right-equivalent functions which are squares of functions defining isolated singularities such that the Lê number corresponding to the Lê cycle of maximal (the top Lê number) dimension is different for them. The difficulty is explained by the following observation:

Lemma (1.5) *Zariski's multiplicity conjecture for isolated singularities is equivalent to the following statement: let f and g be function germs defining isolated singularities, if f^2 and g^2 are topologically right equivalent then their top Lê numbers are equal.*

Proof. Let us deduce first Zariski's multiplicity conjecture from the proposed statement. Suppose that f and g have the same ambient topological type. According to [12] they are topologically right-equivalent. By the argument above then f^2 and g^2 are also topologically right equivalent, and hence they have the same top Lê number. As the top Lê number of the square of a reduced function f equals the multiplicity at the origin of f we are done.

Suppose now that Zariski's multiplicity conjecture is true. If f^2 and g^2 are topologically right-equivalent then clearly f and g have the same embedded topological type. By Zariski's multiplicity conjecture they have then the same multiplicity, and hence f^2 and g^2 have the same top Lê number. We are done.

We describe now a counterexample to the topological right-equivalence invariance of the top Lê number in general (for non-necessarily squares). Define germs $f, g_t : (\mathbf{C}^3, 0) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by

$$f(x, y, z) := x^{15} + y^{10} + z^6$$

$$g_t(x, y, z) := xy + tz.$$

Note that if the x, y, z, t have weights $(2, 3, 5, 0)$ respectively then f and g_t are weighted homogeneous with weights $(30, 5)$. Further, the family of pairs (f, g_t) defines a family of i.c.i.s. with constant Milnor number and non-constant multiplicity (the multiplicity of the i.c.i.s. is 12 for $t = 0$ and 10 for $t \neq 0$). The family is due to Henry and appears in [4]. Define $F_t : (\mathbf{C}^3, 0) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ by

$$F_t := f^2 - g_t^{12} = (f + g_t^6)(f - g_t^6).$$

Here the form of F_t is chosen so that F_t is weighted homogeneous with weight 60. It is easy to check that the singular set of the central fibre of F_t is the intersection of the hypersurfaces $V(f + g_t^6)$ and $V(f - g_t^6)$, which is precisely $V(f, g_t)$. The generic transversal singularity is A_{11} for any value of t . The first Lê number of F_t is the product of the multiplicity of $V(f, g_t)$ by the Milnor number of the generic transversal singularity. Hence the top Lê number of the family F_t is not constant. However:

Lemma (1.6) *The family F_t is topologically right-equivalent trivial.*

Proof. We will prove this using the techniques of [5]. The basis of these techniques as described on p341 of [5] applies to any function whether the singularities are non-isolated or not. We will describe how to construct a time dependent vector field $\xi(t, z)$ which is continuous everywhere and integrable, such that $DF_t(-1, \xi) = 0$. Since the flow of $\langle -1, \xi \rangle$ is C^0 , this will show that the family is right-equivalent trivial.

To construct $\xi(t, z)$ we want to understand $J_z(F_t)$, the ideal generated by the partials of F_t with respect to (x, y, z) . It is helpful to think of F as the composition of $X^2 - Y^{12}$ and (f, g_t) . We can then view $J_z(F_t)$ as the image of the composite of two maps, $D(X^2 - Y^{12}) \circ (f, g_t)$ and $[M_t] = [D(f_t, g_t), k_t]$ where k_t generates the kernel of $D(X^2 - Y^{12}) \circ (f, g_t)$. It then follows that $h_1 2f_t - h_2 12g_t^{11}$ is in $J_z(F_t)$ if and only if (h_1, h_2) is in the $\mathcal{O}_4$ module M_t generated by the columns of $[M_t]$. If $t = 0$, then an easy bare hands computation shows that the $\mathcal{O}_3$ module M_0 has rank 2 except at the origin, hence contains $m_3^k \mathcal{O}_3^2$ for some k . Since in $[M_t]$, we are deforming the last two columns by terms of weight greater than or equal to the weights of the entries in the last two columns, by Nakayama's lemma we can show that M_t contains $m_3^k \mathcal{O}_4^2$.

Now we construct the control function and take the next steps in the construction of $\xi(t, z)$. Start with $x^{a/2}, y^{a/3}, z^{a/5}$ for a very large and divisible by 30, multiply each by $(F_t)_t / (12b)(xy - tz)^{11}$, which is the preimage of $(F_t)_t$, write this as $M(v_x), M(v_y), M(v_z)$, where we can take v_x, v_y, v_z weighted homogeneous vector fields. Now multiply the first equation

$$x^{a/2}(F_t)_t / (12b)(xy - tz)^{11} = M(v_x)$$

by the complex conjugate of $x^{a/2}$, do a similar thing to the other equations, sum the equations; the control function ρ is the sum of products of the $x^{a/2}, y^{a/3}, z^{a/5}$ with their conjugates.

Let v'_x be the field made up of the first three components of $(\bar{x})^{a/2}v_x$. Then the desired vector field is $1/\rho(v'_x + v'_y + v'_z)$ off the t axis and 0 on the t axis.

It is clear that $\xi(t, z)$ is defined everywhere and real analytic off the t axis. The continuity of $\xi(t, z)$ and the integrability of $\langle -1, \xi(t, z) \rangle$ follow from the material in [5] p341-343.

The technique used in this example covers a broad class of weighted homogeneous, non-isolated singularities, but we do not state a general result here.

It would be nice to answer:

Question 1.7. For which functions is the top L e number an invariant of topological right equivalence?

2. THE FORMULA FOR THE EULER OBSTRUCTION

We continue with the notations of the previous section. At a generic point $z_{i,j}$ of $|\Lambda_{j,F}^i(f)|$, chose a generic plane H of complementary dimension to i . The complex link of $X \cap H$ at $z_{i,j}$ has the homotopy type of a bouquet of spheres, let $b(|\Lambda_{j,F}^i(f)|, X)$ denote the number of spheres in this bouquet. Denote the Euler obstruction to an analytic set V at x by $\text{Eu}(V, x)$. Given a complex analytic set, let $\chi(L, X, 0)$ denote the Euler characteristic of the complex link of X at 0.

Theorem (2.1) *Suppose $f : \mathbf{C}^n, 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}, 0$, f is square-free, $X = f^{-1}(0)$. Then*

$$\text{Eu}(X, 0) = \chi(L, X, 0) + \sum_{i>0, j} (-1)^{n-i} b(|\Lambda_{j,F}^i(f)|, X) \text{Eu}(|\Lambda_{j,F}^i(f)|, 0).$$

Proof. We know that the alternating sum of the Lê numbers of f^2 is the reduced Euler characteristic $\tilde{\chi}(M(f^2))$ of the Milnor fiber of f^2 ([20], Theorems II.10.3 and IV.3.5). The Milnor fiber of f^2 is just two disjoint copies of the Milnor fiber of f , so the reduced Euler characteristic of f^2 is:

$$\tilde{\chi}(M(f^2)) = 2\tilde{\chi}(M(f)) + 1.$$

Taking the alternating sum of the Lê numbers of f^2 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 2\tilde{\chi}(M(f)) + 1 &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-i} \lambda^i(f^2) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-i} (2\lambda^i(f) + m(\Gamma^i(X))) + \sum_{k \geq i, j} m(\Gamma^{k+1}(f, z_{k,j})) m(\Gamma^i(|\Lambda_{j,F}^k(f)|), 0) \end{aligned}$$

Now $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{n-1-i} (2\lambda^i(f)) = 2\tilde{\chi}(M(f))$, and the alternating sum of the polar multiplicities of the germ of an equidimensional analytic space (X, x) is just $\text{Eu}(X, x)$ by the main theorem of [15] (p476). Using these two facts we get:

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \text{Eu}(X, 0) + (-1)^{n-1} m(\Gamma^1(f, 0)) \\ &+ \sum_{i>0, j} (-1)^{n-i-1} m(\Gamma^{k+1}(f, z_{k,j})) \text{Eu}(|\Lambda_{j,F}^i(f)|, 0). \end{aligned}$$

Solving for $\text{Eu}(X, 0)$ we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Eu}(X, 0) &= 1 + (-1)^n m(\Gamma^1(f, 0)) \\ &+ \sum_{i>0, j} (-1)^{n-i} m(\Gamma^{k+1}(f, z_{k,j})) \text{Eu}(|\Lambda_{j,F}^i(f)|, 0). \end{aligned}$$

By a theorem of Massey's (p.365, [21]) we have that the multiplicity of the relative polar variety of f of dimension $k+1$ at the point z_j is the $b(|\Lambda_{j,F}^k(f)|, X)$. Then $\chi(L, X, 0) = 1 + (-1)^{n-2} m(\Gamma^1(f)) = 1 + (-1)^n m(\Gamma^1(f))$. So,

$$\text{Eu}(X, 0) = \chi(L, X, 0) + \sum_{i>0, j} (-1)^{n-i} b(|\Lambda_{j,F}^i(f)|, X) \text{Eu}(|\Lambda_{j,F}^i(f)|, 0).$$

3. EXAMPLES

In the first two examples we recover the calculation of $\text{Eu}(X)$ in two basic examples.

Example (3.1) Suppose f has an isolated singularity, then there are no $\Lambda_{j,F}^i$ terms, and we get $\text{Eu}(X, 0) = \chi(L, X, 0) = 1 + (-1)^{n-2} \mu^{n-1}(X, 0)$.

Example (3.2) Suppose the singular locus of f , $S(f)$ consists of curves. Then each curve is a fixed component of the Lê cycles; choosing a complementary and generic hyperplane and intersecting with X we are again in the situation of an isolated hypersurface singularity, so $b(|\Lambda_{j,F}^1(f)|, X) = \mu^{n-2}(X \cap H, z_j) = (e_j - 1)(-1)^{n-3}$, where e_j is the value of the Euler obstruction to X at a generic point of $|\Lambda_{j,F}^1(f)|$. Now, $\text{Eu}(|\Lambda_{j,F}^1(f)|, 0) = m(|\Lambda_{j,F}^1(f)|, 0)$, by the theorem of Lê and Teissier, so we recover the result of Dubson ([6]),

$$\text{Eu}(X, 0) = \chi(L, X, 0) + \sum_j (-1)^{n-1} (-1)^{n-3} (e_j - 1) = \chi(L, X, 0) - \sum_j (1 - e_j).$$

In the next two examples we look at those X which are defined by functions which off the origin have only $A(k)$ singularities (example 3) and $D(q, p)$ singularities (example 4). These ideas were originally defined by Siersma and his students and are interesting because these germs play the same role for non-isolated hypersurface singularities that finitely determined functions play for isolated hypersurface singularities.

Example (3.3) We say f defined on $\mathbf{C}^n$ has a singularity of type $A(d)$ at z if the singular set at z has dimension d and the germ of f at z has normal form $z_1^2 + \dots + z_{n-d}^2$. Suppose f is a function such that off the origin f has only $A(d)$ singularities; denote the singular set by V , then V has an isolated singularity at the origin. Then the fixed components of dimension > 0 are exactly the components V_i of V , and $b(V_i, X)$ is 1 for all components. Meanwhile, since V has an isolated singularity, $\text{Eu}(V_i, 0) = \chi(L_{V_i}, 0)$, where $\chi(L_{V_i}, 0)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of the complex link of V_i at 0. So $\text{Eu}(X, 0) = \chi(L, X, 0) + (-1)^{n-d} \sum_i \chi(L_{V_i}, 0)$.

In preparation for the next example we discuss the $D(q, p)$ singularities. These are singularities of functions in which the singular locus is smooth. If f has a non-isolated singularity of type $D(p(p+1)/2, p)$, then it is known that by a change of coordinates, f has the normal form

$$f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \left(\sum_{i \leq j}^p x_{i,j} y_i y_j \right) + y_{p+1}^2 + \dots + y_{p+k}^2 = [\mathbf{y}]^t [\mathbf{X}] [\mathbf{y}] + y_{p+1}^2 + \dots + y_{p+k}^2.$$

Here $[\mathbf{X}]$ is a symmetric matrix with diagonal entries $x_{i,i}$ and off diagonal entries $1/2x_{i,j}$ and n , the dimension of the domain of f is $p + k + p(p+1)/2$.

In the notation $D(q, p)$, p refers to the size of the matrix $[\mathbf{X}]$ while the number of generators of the ideal $I = (\mathbf{y})$ which defines the singular locus of f is $p + k$, while q is the dimension of the singular set. The smallest q can be is $p(p + 1)/2$. If $q > p(p + 1)/2$, then the additional coordinates do not appear in the normal form. (Cf. [22], [23].)

Example (3.4) Now, suppose $S(f)$ is an analytic set V^d such that off the origin f has only $A(d)$ singularities or $D(q, p)$ singularities appearing transversely (see [8], section 11.3). Then, there are two types of fixed cycles of dimension > 0 ; they are the underlying sets of the components of V^d and D , the set of points where f has a $D(q, p)$ singularity. (Assume D has dimension at least 1, otherwise we are back in the last case.) The assumption on the behavior of f off the origin again implies that V^d has an isolated singularity. At a generic point z_i of D_i , a component of D , f has the singularity type of a suspended Whitney umbrella. So, $b(D_i, X)$ is the multiplicity of the relative polar curve of f at z , which is 1. So

$$\text{Eu}(X, 0) = \chi(L, X, 0) + (-1)^{n-d} \sum_i \chi(L_{V_i}, 0) + (-1)^{n-d-1} \sum_i \text{Eu}(D_i, 0).$$

Using our formula alone, at this point we would compute $\text{Eu}(D_i, 0)$ using the formula of Lê and Teissier. Notice that this is no more complicated than calculating the contributions to the Lê numbers coming from the D_i .

Combining our formula with that of Brasselet, Lê and Seade we can make further progress. By the transversality condition which f satisfies, a Whitney stratification of D_i is given by the stratification by $D(q, p)$ type. The Euler invariant at a generic point of each stratum can be calculated because the multiplicities of the polar varieties are known. For the set of symmetric $p \times p$ square matrices the polar multiplicities at the zero matrix of the set of matrices of kernel rank 1 are given by:

$$m^{p(p+1)/2-i-1} = 2^i \binom{p}{p-i-1}, 0 \leq i < p$$

$$m^{p(p+1)/2-i-1} = 0, i \geq p.$$

(See [10] for a proof.)

Then, at the generic point z of each stratum of D , slicing by a complementary generic plane P , the germ $X \cap P, z$ is isomorphic to the germ of the set of symmetric $p \times p$ square matrices of kernel rank 1 at the zero matrix where p is determined from the local normal form of f . Thus, the polar multiplicities of $X \cap P, z$ at z are the same as for the square matrices, and the local Euler obstruction is the same as well. Denote the value of the Euler obstruction to the germ of the set of symmetric $p \times p$ square matrices of kernel rank 1 at the zero matrix by $\text{Eu}(p)$.

Looking at the formulas for the polar multiplicities we notice the following relations:

$$2\text{Eu}(p) = 2\binom{p}{p-1} + \dots + (-1)^{p-1}2^p\binom{p}{0}$$

$$(-1)^{p-1}2\text{Eu}(p) = 2^p + \dots + (-1)^{p-1}2\binom{p}{p-1}$$

$$(-1)^{p-1}2\text{Eu}(p) + (-1)^p = 2^p + \dots + (-1)^{p-1}2\binom{p}{p-1} + (-1)^p = (2-1)^p = 1.$$

Hence

$$\text{Eu}(p) = \frac{1 - (-1)^p}{(-1)^{p-1}2}.$$

Thus $\text{Eu}(p) = 0$ for p even and $\text{Eu}(p) = 1$ for p odd.

Denote the stratum of type p of D_i by $D_{i,p}$, by D_p the union of $D_{i,p}$ over i . Applying the formula of Brasselet, Lê and Seade to $\text{Eu}(D, 0)$, we get:

$$\text{Eu}(D_i, 0) = \sum_{p \text{ odd}} \chi(D_{i,p} \cap B_\varepsilon \cap L^{-1}(t_0)).$$

Then we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Eu}(X, 0) &= \chi(L, X, 0) + (-1)^{n-d} \chi(L_V, 0) \\ &+ (-1)^{n-d-1} \sum_{p \text{ odd}} \chi(D_p \cap B_\varepsilon \cap L^{-1}(t_0)). \end{aligned}$$

Based on the parity of $n-d$ this can be further simplified. Denote the smooth points of X by X_0 . If $n-d$ is odd,

$$\text{Eu}(X, 0) = \chi(X_0 \cap B_\varepsilon \cap L^{-1}(t_0), 0) + \sum_{p \text{ odd}} \chi(D_p \cap B_\varepsilon \cap L^{-1}(t_0)).$$

If $n-d$ is even, the story is a little more complicated. Let A denote the points on $S(X)$ where the germ of f is of type $A(n-d)$. Comparing the result of the BLS formula and ours we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Eu}(X, 0) &= \chi(X_0 \cap B_\varepsilon \cap L^{-1}(t_0), 0) + 2\chi(A \cap B_\varepsilon \cap L^{-1}(t_0), 0) \\ &+ \sum_{p \text{ odd}} \chi(D_p \cap B_\varepsilon \cap L^{-1}(t_0)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{p \text{ even}} \chi(D_p \cap B_\varepsilon \cap L^{-1}(t_0)) = 0.$$

The procedure used to calculate this example should work whenever f satisfies a set of transversality conditions off the origin. From the transversality

conditions it should be possible to see what the fixed Lê cycles are, and what the complex link of X at a generic point is. Then calculate the Euler obstruction for each fixed cycle at the origin, using the BLS formula. Here we only need a Whitney stratification of the fixed cycle, not of X , and these should come from the transversality conditions and the “general situation”. The calculation of the Euler obstruction should also follow from the general situation as it does in this example.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.P. BRASSELET, Lê D. T., J. Seade, Euler obstruction and indices of vector fields, *Topology* vol. 39 , 1193-1208, 2000.
- [2] J. BRIANÇON, J.P. Speder, *Familles équisingulières de surfaces à singularité isolée*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 280 (1975), Aii, A1013–A1016.
- [3] J. BRIANÇON, J.P. Speder, *La trivialité topologique n’implique pas les conditions de Whitney*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 280 (1975), no. 6, Aiii, A365–A367.
- [4] R.-O. BUCHWEITZ, G.-M. Greuel, *The Milnor number and deformations of complex curve singularities*, *Invent. Math.* 58 (1980), no. 3, 241–281.
- [5] J. DAMON, T. Gaffney, *Topological triviality of deformations of functions and Newton filtrations*, *Invent. Math.* 72 (1983), no. 3, 335–358.
- [6] A. DUBSON, *Classes caractéristiques des variétés singulières*, C.R. Académie des Sciences Paris Série A 287 (1978) 237–240.
- [7] J. FERNÁNDEZ DE BOBADILLA, *Approximations of non-isolated singularities of finite codimension with respect to an isolated complete intersection singularity*. *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 35 (2003), no. 6, 812–816.
- [8] J. FERNÁNDEZ DE BOBADILLA, *Relative morsification theory*. *Topology* 43 (2004), no. 4, 925–982.
- [9] J. FERNÁNDEZ DE BOBADILLA, *Answers to equisingularity questions*. To appear in *Invent. Math.*
- [10] T.GAFFNEY, *Invariants of $D(q,p)$ singularities*, Math CV/0508047.
- [11] T. GAFFNEY, *The multiplicity of pairs of modules and hypersurface singularities*, to appear in *Real and Complex Singularities* (Luminy, 2004).
- [12] H. C. KING, *Topological type of isolated critical points*, *Ann. Math. (2)* 107 (1978), no. 2, 385–397.
- [13] D. T. LÊ, B. Perron, *Sur la fibre de Milnor d’une singularité isolée en dimension complexe trois*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A-B 289 (1979), no. 2, A115–A118.
- [14] D. T. LÊ AND C. P. RAMANUJAM, *The invariance of Milnor’s number implies the invariance of the topological type*, *Amer. J. Math.* **98** (1976), 67–78.
- [15] D. T. LÊ AND B. TEISSIER, *Variétés polaires locales et classes de Chern des variétés singulières*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 114 (1981), no. 3, 457–491.
- [16] R. D. MACPHERSON, *Chern classes for singular algebraic varieties*, *Ann. of Math. (2)* 100 (1974), 423–432.
- [17] D. B. MASSEY, *The Lê varieties. I*. *Invent. Math.* 99 (1991), no. 1, 357–376.
- [18] MASSEY, David B. *The Lê varieties. II*. *Invent. Math.* 104 (1991), no. 1, 113–148.
- [19] D. MASSEY *Lê Cycles and Hypersurface Singularities*, SPRINGER LECTURE NOTES IN MATHEMATICS 1615 , (1995).
- [20] D. MASSEY *Numerical Control over Complex Analytic Singularities* MEMOIRS OF THE AMS, #778, AMS 2003.
- [21] D. MASSEY, *Numerical invariants of perverse sheaves*, *Duke Math. J.* 73 (1994), no. 2, 307–369.

- [22] R. PELLIKAAN, *Hypersurface singularities and resolutions of Jacobi modules*, Thesis, Rijkuniversiteit Utrecht, 1985.
- [23] R. PELLIKAAN, *Finite determinacy of functions with non-isolated singularities*, Proc. London Math. Soc. vol. 57, pp. 1-26, 1988.
- [24] D. SIERSMA, *The vanishing topology of non isolated singularities*. New developments in singularity theory (Cambridge, 2000), 447–472, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., 21, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2001.
- [25] B. TEISSIER, *Cycles évanescents sections planes et conditions de Whitney*, in “Singularités à Cargèse,” Astérisque **7–8**, 1973.
- [26] J.G. TIMOURIAN, *The invariance of Milnor’s number implies topological triviality*. Amer. J. Math. 99 (1977), no. 2, 437–446.
- [27] A. ZAHARIA, *Topological properties of certain singularities with critical locus a 2-dimensional complete intersection*. Topology Appl. 60 (1994), no. 2, 153–171.